

\$~R-92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8298/2009

SMT. SUNITA SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.S. Panwar, Advocate with Mr.
Joginder Singh, husband of petitioner.

versus

TINU PUBLIC SCHOOL & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Virender Goswami, Advocate
with Ms. Soni Singh, Advocate and
Mrs. Swati Goswami Dhawan,
Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. C.K. Bhatt, Advocate for
respondent Nos.3 to 5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **14.02.2017**

1. After some arguments, this writ petition is disposed of with the consent order that the petitioner, if she has any dues remain to be paid from the respondent no.1 in accordance with law, then petitioner will bring this aspect by a written communication to the notice of the respondent no.1 within two weeks from today and respondent no.1 will give a considered and speaking reply as to what were the dues which were payable to the petitioner

and how the same have been paid within a period of two weeks thereafter. If any dues remain to be paid, then, respondent no.1 shall clear the dues in accordance with law within a period of four weeks thereafter. It is also agreed that petitioner will not be subjected to any disability only because this writ petition was pending in this Court. Learned counsel for the respondent no.1 states that petitioner will be treated equally as an employee of a similarly placed person in a government school as per Section 10 of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973.

2. Writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.
3. Dasti to counsels for the parties.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

FEBRUARY 14, 2017

Ne