

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2159/2017 and C.M. No.9374/2017 (exemption)

GAUTAM KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. P.K. Mullick, Advocate with Mr.
Arvind Kumar, Advocate.

versus

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK THROUGH CHEIF MANAGER AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, Advocate
with Mr. Rakesh Kumar Chaurasiya,
Advocate for respondent No.1.
Mr. Rajat Arora, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **10.03.2017**

1. This writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate independent proceedings inasmuch as counsel for the respondent no.1/bank states that there is some issue with respect to entitlement of the petitioner being selected, inasmuch as, there may arise question of unfair means, but that aspect according to the counsel for the respondent no.1 is not clear today.

2. Accordingly, when the respondent no.1 takes a decision, and

which decision is expected to be taken within a period of two months from today, respondent no.1 will inform the petitioner as to his selection or non-selection by means of a speaking order, and petitioner at that stage if is not held entitled to be appointed/selected, petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate independent proceedings.

3. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MARCH 10, 2017

Ne

W.P.(C) No.2159/2017

page 2 of 2