

\$~38 & 39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 83/2017**

HONSHU BUILDCON PRIVATE LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr Kirti Uppal, Senior Advocate with
Mr Rajesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
& ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms Mini Pushkarna, Standing
counsel, NDMC, Ms Anushruti and
Ms Vasundhara Nayyar, Advocates.
with Mr Ashwani Mathur, ALO RP
Cell, NDMC

AND

+ **O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 84/2017**

HONSHU BUILDCON PRIVATE LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr Kirti Uppal, Senior Advocate with
Mr Rajesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
& ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms Mini Pushkarna, Standing
counsel, NDMC, Ms Anushruti and
Ms Vasundhara Nayyar, Advocates.
with Mr Ashwani Mathur, ALO RP
Cell, NDMC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER
% **11.04.2017**

IA No.4283/2017 in O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 83/2017
IA No.4281/2017 in O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 84/2017

These are applications filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking enlargement of the time to pay the disputed amounts.

It is seen that the petitions were disposed of by an order dated 20.02.2017 wherein directions were given for payment of the 50% of the amount within a period of two weeks from that date and subject to the said payments being made, the respondents were directed to keep the order of cancellation in abeyance and not implement the same. Ms Pushkarna, learned counsel for the respondents states that the petitioner had failed to pay the aforesaid amounts and, therefore, the cancellation of contract has become effective. She further points out that the arbitral proceedings have also been terminated by the Delhi International Arbitration Centre.

In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner. However, this would not preclude the petitioner from approaching the respondents to consider accepting payments belatedly with interest.

The applications are disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

APRIL 11, 2017
MK