

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

R-7

+

ITA 25/2005

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, DELHI Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Senior standing
counsel.

versus

BEEKAY ENGINEERING AND CASTI Respondent
Through: None.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

04.07.2017

%

1. It is seen that the tax effect in this appeal is less than Rs. 20 lakhs. In view of Circular No. 21/2015 dated 10th December 2015 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes, this appeal has to be treated as not pressed by the Revenue.

2. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed as not pressed.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

JULY 04, 2017/Rm