

26# \$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 808/2017**

**PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH**

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Harish Kumar, Advocate.

versus

**UMA SINGH**

..... Respondent

Represented by: None.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER**

%

**28.02.2017**

**Crl. M.A. No. 3424/2017 (Exemption)**

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**CRL.M.C. 808/2017 and Crl. M.A. No. 3423/2017 (Stay)**

The petitioner challenges the impugned order dated 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 whereby the learned Principal Judge, Family Court has as an ad-interim measure directed the petitioner to deposit ₹1 lakh within one month and the remaining amount of ₹1 lakh within two months thereafter and has listed the matter for 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

The Principal Judge, Family Court has neither fixed any monthly maintenance and the provision made is totally ad-interim.

I find no error in the impugned order.

Petition and application are dismissed.

**MUKTA GUPTA, J.**

**FEBRUARY 28, 2017**

**‘vn’**