

\$~R-89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7970/2009

ANUPAM KASHYAP

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Javed Ahmed, Advocate with Mr.
Anis Ahmed, Advocate and Mr.
Rameez Siddiqui, Advocate.

versus

MANAGER SD PUBLIC SCHOOL & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Varun Nischal, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

%

03.02.2017

1. Counsel for the petitioner, after arguing the case and during the course of dictation of judgment, states that petitioner has given him instructions that in the peculiar facts of this case, the petitioner does not press the writ petition but petitioner will seek legal employment in accordance with law with any school in Delhi including the respondent no.1.
2. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of entitling the petitioner to apply for appointment in a school in Delhi, of course in accordance with law.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

FEBRUARY 03, 2017

Ne