

\$~18 & 19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2108/2017

VIDYA BHARTI SCHOOL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atul Bandhu, Adv.
versus

CHANDER SINGH & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Shatrajit Banerjee, Adv. for Mr.
Gautam Narayan, Adv. for R-2.

+ W.P.(C) 2110/2017

VIDYA BHARTI SCHOOL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atul Bandhu, Adv.

versus

SHREEPAL & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Shatrajit Banerjee, Adv. for Mr.
Gautam Narayan, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **07.03.2017**

1. After arguments, these writ petitions are disposed of as not pressed but liberty as prayed for is granted to the petitioner/school to initiate departmental proceedings against the employees who are respondent no. 1 in each of the aforesaid writ petitions, inasmuch as, the petitioner/school contends that the employees in question could not have joined the services of the school as they lack the eligibility criteria for appointment.
2. The writ petitions and the pending applications are, accordingly, disposed of giving the aforesaid liberty to the petitioner/school to take out

departmental proceedings against such employees as the petitioner/school thinks do not satisfy the eligibility criteria for appointment to the petitioner/school.

Valmiki
VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MARCH 07, 2017
ib