

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2099/2014**

DURGA BISHT

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. M.A. Niyazi, Advocate with Ms.
Anamika Niyazi, Advocate.**

versus

THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Shutrajit Banerji, Advocate for
respondent No.1.
Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate for
respondent Nos.2 and 3.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **27.02.2017**

This writ petition is infructuous in view of the fact that petitioner has participated in the subsequent selection process, however, though this writ petition is disposed of as infructuous, the issues which are raised in this writ petition, if are relevant for determining W.P.(C) No.9737/2015, the same will be considered in W.P.(C) No.9737/2015.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2017

Ne