

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 836/2017**

BHAGAT RAM & ORS

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Anil Kr. Kukreja, Adv.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok Kr. Garg, APP with
SI Jasmer Singh PS Jahangir
Puri.
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv. for
R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

22.03.2017

%

By the present petition, the Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 131/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Jahangir Puri, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from Investigating Officer submits that in the above-noted FIR the three petitioners are the only accused and respondent No.2 the only complainant/ victim.

Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer. She states that she has settled the

CRL.M.C. 836/2017

page 1 of 3

matter with the petitioners pursuant where to divorce by mutual consent has been granted between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2. In lieu of all her claims of maintenance, istridhan, alimony, etc., respondent No.2 has received a sum of ₹1,30,000/- and the balance amount of ₹70,000/- has been received by her today in Court in cash. She states that she will abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties. She further states that she has now no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners and does not wish to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and state that they will abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 131/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Jahangir Puri, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 22, 2017
'ga'