

\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 445/2017**

SNEHLATA & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Habibur Rahman, Advocate with
Ms.Prabha Mishra, Advocate along with the
petitioners in person.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.R.S. Kundu, ASC for the State with
SI Ashok Kumar, PS South Campus, Delhi.
Mr.Kamlesh Kumar Maurya, Advocate with
Mr.S.D.K. Dixit, Advocate for R-3 along with
respondent no. 3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

30.08.2017

1. The petitioners have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short 'Cr.PC') for a writ of mandamus directing the respondent no. 1 and 2 to provide them protection from the respondent no. 3 and his family members.
2. Status report has been filed.
3. Respondent no. 3 is the father of the petitioner no. 1 and the petitioner no. 2 is the friend of petitioner no.1.

4. It is submitted by the petitioner no.1 that her father i.e. respondent no.3 wants to force her into marriage against her wish and choice. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that since 2015, the petitioners have lodged several complaints to the police against the respondent no. 3 as threats to their life are extended by the respondent no. 3 and his family members.
5. It is submitted by the learned ASC through the IO that pursuant to the directions of this court dated 13.02.2017, the SHO had given his mobile number and that of Divisional Staff to the petitioners. The Divisional Staff and Beat Staff have already been briefed and sensitized and the petitioners have been informed that if they receive any threat from the respondent no. 3 or any other relative, they may immediately inform either of them.
6. The respondent no. 3, who is the father of the petitioner no.1, is present in the court. He submits that since her daughter i.e. petitioner no.1 does not want to reside with him, he shall not have any relation with her now. Respondent no. 3 undertakes that henceforth he shall not interfere in the personal life of her daughter i.e. petitioner no.1 or petitioner no. 2 in any manner. The undertaking so given by the respondent no. 3 is hereby accepted.
7. The petitioners, who are present in the court, through their counsel further submit that they still perceive threats from the respondent no. 3 and his family members.
8. In the facts and circumstances, the petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondent no. 1 and 2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life or limb of either of the petitioners from the

respondent no. 3 or his family members. The SHO, PS South Campus, Delhi is further directed to provide his mobile number and that of Divisional Officer, beat constable and one lady Police Officer and in case of need, necessary protection be provided to both the petitioners.

9. It is also directed that for the next 15 days, SHO or the Divisional Officer or the Beat Constable along with lady Police Officer shall visit the petitioners every day to find out about their well being.
10. With these directions, the petition is disposed of accordingly.

VINOD GOEL, J.

AUGUST 30, 2017

"shailendra"