

\$~35.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 310/2017**

RAVIKANT @ ANJU

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. G.S. Chauhan & Mr. Shelender
Kashyap, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Akshai Malik, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER
20.02.2017

%

Crl. M.A. No. 3051/2017

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 310/2017

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the bail application. However, the grievance of the petitioner is that the prosecutrix is not appearing for recording of her evidence despite three adjournments. It is informed that the next date in the case is 10.03.2017. The prosecution is directed to produce the prosecutrix and all other independent witnesses without any delay. The Trial Court shall not

grant any undue adjournments either to the prosecution or the accused. Once the evidence of the prosecutrix and the other independent witnesses is recorded, it shall be open to the petitioner to apply for bail afresh. If such an application is made, the same shall be considered on its own merits by the Trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2017

B.S. Rohella