

\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 598/2017**

GOPAL

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sandeep Kr. Sharma, Mr.
Satish Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP with
ASI Kiran Sethi PS Anand
Parbat.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

14.02.2017

%

CrI.M.A. 2585/2017

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 598/2017

By the present petition the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 39/2013 under Sections 354 IPC registered at PS Anand Parbat, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from Investigating Officer submits that in the above-noted FIR the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 the only complainant/ victim.

CRL.M.C. 598/2017

page 1 of 2

Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and is identified by the Investigating Officer. She states that she has settled the matter with the petitioner who is her uncle vide compromise deed dated 1st December, 2016 with the intervention of elders of the family and she does not wish to pursue the above-noted FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

The petitioner who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and states that in future no cause of grievance would be given to respondent No.2.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 39/2013 under Sections 354 IPC registered at PS Anand Parbat, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 14, 2017

‘ga’

CRL.M.C. 598/2017

page 2 of 2