

\$~23

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 1005/2017

SHREE GUSAIN TRADING CO.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. D.M. Sinha, Advocate.

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF VAT

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Siddharth Shankar Ray,
Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

10.03.2017

It is stated that refund claims have been allowed. The respondent is directed to ensure that the refund orders together with interest is passed within a week and the amounts are also remitted to the petitioner's account within the same period.

In case there is any discrepancy, the petitioner is at liberty to apply for clarification/further orders to the VATO who shall decide the application within a month of its presentation.

The writ petition is disposed off accordingly.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J.

MARCH 10, 2017/sb