

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

19.

+

O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 54/2017

M/S RASHTRIYA ADVERTISING AGENCY

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Nandita Abrol and Ms. Virishti
Gupta, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Pawan Mathur, Senior Standing
Counsel for DDA.

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

ORDER

%

06.02.2017

IA No. 1517/2017 (for exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 54/2017

2. Mr. Pawan Mathur, learned counsel appearing for Delhi Development Authority ('DDA') on advance notice informs the Court on instructions that the kiosks in question have been demolished.

3. With the main prayer in the present petition having been rendered infructuous, the Court sees no purpose in keeping the petition pending. It is disposed of as such.

4. However, this will not preclude the Petitioner from seeking any other appropriate remedy available to it in accordance with law.

S. MURALIDHAR, J

FEBRUARY 06, 2017/dn