

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 1131/2012

MAHANAGAR TELEPHONE NIGAM LIMITED Petitioner

Through Mr. Vaibhav Kalra, Advocate

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS Respondents

Through

Ms. Pratistha Vij and Mr. Rhishabh Jetley, Proxy Counsel for Mr. Sanjoy Ghose, ASC (Civil) for R-1/GNCTD. Mr. Ravi Gupta, Senior Advocate with Mr. Puneeth K.G. and Ms. Swetabh, Advocates for R-2/BSES. Mr. Anushruti Sinha, Proxy Counsel and Mr. Rajesh Jain, Manage (Legal) for Mr. Sumeet Pushkarna, Standing Counsel for R-3/DPCL.

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN****ORDER**

%

03.02.2017

After some arguments, it is agreed that the present writ petition shall be treated as a representation to respondent no. 2/BSES. The respondent no. 2 is directed to decide the same within eight weeks after giving an opportunity of hearing to petitioner.

With the aforesaid direction, present writ petition stands disposed of.

MANMOHAN, J**FEBRUARY 03, 2017**

rn