

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 501/2017

NEERAJ KUMAR SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr.M.L.Yadav, Adv.

versus

STATE Respondent
Through: Ms.Kamna Vohra, ASC.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER
% **24.10.2017**

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 03.01.2017 passed by the competent authority whereby his request for being released on parole for preferring SLP before the Supreme Court of India and to re-establish social ties with the society and family members, has been rejected.

The nominal roll indicates that the petitioner has remained in jail for three years by now and has displayed satisfactory conduct in jail.

In the other cases in which the petitioner has been made accused, he is on bail. The address of the petitioner has also been verified and found to be existing.

The petitioner has been convicted under Sections 392/397/411 and 34 of the IPC and the maximum sentence which has been imposed upon him is of five years under Section 392 of the IPC.

Taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner and his

having displayed good behaviour in jail, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a period of four weeks.

The petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of four weeks, to be counted from the date of his release, on his furnishing bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of the concerned jail.

The petitioner shall, however, abide by the conditions listed below:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region of Delhi, without intimating the officer-in-charge of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his and his sureties' mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

OCTOBER 24, 2017

k