

\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 839/2017

YASIN @ AASHIN AND ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through Mr. Anand Shailani, Advocate

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Sri Harsha Peechara, ASC with Ms.
Vidhi Jain, Advocate for respondent no.1
along with Mr. Narayan Kumar, ALO,
NDMC.
Mr. Devvrat, Advocate for respondent
no.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

30.01.2017

CM.APPL3833/2017(Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C).839/2017 & CM.APPL 3832/2017(direction)

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 has been squatting Opposite Shop no.55, Babu Market, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi. He further submits that the petitioner is old and suffering from various ailments. His squatting site is being looked after by his son Munna, respondent no.2 herein.

Copies of challans have been placed on record. Counsel for NDMC, who appears on an advance copy, submits that copies of challans placed on record do not evidence that the petitioner has been squatting at the said site except one

challan. At this stage, counsel for the petitioners prays that the case of the petitioner should be considered by the Town Vending Committee (TVC). Counsel for the respondent has no objection to the same.

The petition is disposed of at this stage with leave to the petitioner to approach the TVC. The TVC would consider the case of the petitioner expeditiously and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

CM.APPL 3832/2017 also stands disposed of.

G.S.SISTANI, J

VINOD GOEL, J

JANUARY 30, 2017

pst /
W.P.(C) 839/2017