

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 687/2017**
KASHVI ENTERPRISES Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES & ANR..... Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 693/2017**
A.M. ENTERPRISES Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES Respondent

+ **W.P.(C) 694/2017**
RAI LUBRICANTS Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES Respondent

+ **W.P.(C) 820/2017**
M/S KRISHNANAK PACKAGING PROPRIETOR SH.
DAVINDER SINGH CHADDA Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER OF DELHI VALUE ADDED TAX & ANR.
..... Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 822/2017**
M/S SAVITA ENTERPRISES Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES, & ANR..... Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 824/2017**
Q.A. AUTO INDUSTRIES Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER, TRADE & TAXES & ANR. Respondents

- + **W.P.(C) 825/2017**
T.A. ENGG. WORKS Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER, TRADE & TAXES & ANR..... Respondents
- + **W.P.(C) 11579/2016, C.M. APPL.45609/2016**
GOODWILL TUBEWELLS (INDIA) Petitioner
versus
COMMISSIONER, TRADE & TAXES & ANR..... Respondents
Through : Sh. S.K. Khurana, Advocate, for
petitioner, in Item No.28.
Sh. Chanderkant Singh, Advocate, for petitioner,
in Item No.29.
Sh. A.K. Babbar and Sh. Rakesh Kumar,
Advocate, for petitioner, in Item No.42.
Sh. Nitin Gulati, Advocate, for petitioner, in Item
No.46.

Sh. Naunidh. S. Arora, Advocates, for
respondents, in Item No. 28.
Sh. Siddharth Dutta, Advocate, for respondents, in
Item Nos. 29, 45 and 46.
Sh. Shadan Farasat and Sh. Ahmed Said,
Advocates, for respondents, in Item No.42.
Sh. Anuj Aggarwal, ASC with Ms. Deboshree
Mukherjee, Advocate, for respondents, in Item
Nos. 43 & 50.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER
15.02.2017

%

Issue notice. Sh. Naunidh. S. Arora, Advocate accepts notice in
Item No.28; Sh. Siddharth Dutta, Advocate accepts notice in Item

Nos. 29, 45 and 46; Sh. Shadan Farasat, Advocate accepts notice in Item No.42 and Sh. Anuj Aggarwal, ASC accepts notice in Item Nos. 43 & 50.

In W.P.(C) 687/2017, W.P.(C) 694/2017, W.P.(C) 820/2017 and W.P.(C) 822/2017, the DVAT Department states that even though the matter is under process, appropriate refund orders will be made.

In the remaining cases, it is stated by the learned counsel for the respondents that they will obtain instructions and in case the amounts are not refunded, the respondents shall indicate by what dates the petitioners would be refunded the amounts – through tabular chart.

The respondents are directed to process the petitioners' case for refund and ensure that the amounts together with interest shall be remitted to the petitioners' accounts within 10 days.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

FEBRUARY 15, 2017/ajk