

\$-5&6

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(CRL) 143/2016 & Crl.M.A. 837/2016

MOHD. YAMIN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: None.
versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Kamna Vohra, ASC.

+ W.P.(CRL) 145/2016 & Crl.M.A. 845/2016

SALMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: None.
versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Kamna Vohra, ASC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER**14.02.2017**

%

Even on pass over, none appears for the petitioner. Steps have not been taken for service of respondent No.2. Even on the last date of hearing i.e. on 28th September, 2016 learned proxy counsel prayed for an adjournment as arguing counsel was not available. Fresh notice could not be issued as process fee was returned under objection. Prior thereto also steps were not taken for service of respondent No.2.

Petitions and applications are dismissed for non-prosecution.

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 14, 2017

'v mittal'