

\$~6.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 200/2017**

SARVESH BHATIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pawan Sharma, Advocate

versus

N.C.B. & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Manchanda for NCB
Ms. Richa Kapoor, ASC with Ms.
Seema Patnaha, Adv for State

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER
02.02.2017

%

The nominal roll has been received. The petitioner had initially filed this petition to seek extension of parole which had been granted for 15 days w.e.f. 06.01.2017. However, before the matter could be taken up for consideration, the petitioner surrendered on 22.01.2017. The reason for seeking extension of parole is stated to be the illness of the petitioner's father.

The documents placed on record by themselves do not show such an emergent situation to justify the extension of parole. The petitioner has also moved an application before the Government to seek the same relief.

Let the said application be treated as a fresh application for parole. The petitioner shall place before the Government such further documents as are necessary to show the urgency in the matter within a week. Let the application of the petitioner be considered and decided within two weeks thereafter.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

FEBRUARY 02, 2017

sr