

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 183/2017**

MAHENDER KUMAR & ANR. Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr. Kishan N. Rana, Adv.**

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Represented by: **Mr. Hirein Sharma, APP with
SI Praveen Kumar, PS
Mandawali.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

27.02.2017

%

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner No.2 has since been arrested and thus the present petition seeking anticipatory bail qua petitioner No.2 becomes infructuous. He further states that he does not press the present petition even for petitioner No.1 and would seek regular bail for both the petitioners.

Petition is dismissed as not pressed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 27, 2017

‘v mittal’