

\$~CP-4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CO.PET. 61/2013 & CO.APPL. 878/2017, 189-190/2013**

BLUE STAR LIMITED Petitioner
Through:
versus
LILLIPUT KIDSWEAR LTD Respondent
Through: Ms. Isha Khanna, Standing Counsel
for OL

+ **CO.PET. 89/2013 & CO.APPLs. 1739-40/2017(Restoration)**

SINHA FABRICS LIMITED Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rohit Dutta, Adv.
versus
LILLIPUT KIDSWEAR LIMITED Respondent
Through: Ms. Isha Khanna, Standing Counsel
for OL

+ **CO.PET. 93/2013 & CO.APPLs. 1741-42/2017(Restoration)**

SINHA WOOL WEARS LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rohit Dutta, Adv.
versus
LILLIPUT KIDSWEAR LIMITED Respondent
Through: Ms. Isha Khanna, Standing Counsel
for OL

+ **CO.PET. 130/2013 & CO.APPL. 976/2017(CM For Restoration)**

WIPRO LTD Petitioner
Through: Ms. Anjali Sharma, Adv.
versus
LILLIPUT KIDSWEAR LTD Respondent
Through: Ms. Isha Khanna, Standing Counsel
for OL

+ **CO.PET. 338/2013 & CO.APPLs. 1450/2014, 333/2017**

M/S SIFY TECHNOLOGIES LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sujeet K. Mishra, Adv.
versus
M/S LILLIPUT KIDSWEAR LTD Respondent
Through: Ms. Isha Khanna, Standing Counsel
for OL

+ **CO.PET. 567/2014 & CO.APPLs. 2060/2014, 973/2017**

M/S VENUS GARMENT INDIA LIMITED Petitioner
Through: Mr. Arjun Mitra, Adv.
versus
M/S LILLIPUT KIDSWEAR LIMITED & ORS. Respondents
Through: Ms. Isha Khanna, Standing Counsel
for OL

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

% **ORDER**
11.12.2017

In these matters, as noted by the Court on last date i.e. 09.10.2017, the Official Liquidator has been appointed as provisional liquidator in Co. Pet. 66/2012 on 06.01.2014. In the meantime, the respondent – company went in appeal which also has been disposed of. As proceedings under SICA had commenced, the present petition was adjourned sine die on 16.10.2015. Now, as SICA is repealed, learned counsel for the respondent prays for revival of these petitions.

Keeping in view the fact that the Provisional Liquidator has already been appointed for the respondent company in Co. Pet. No. 66/2012, no purpose is served by continuing with the present petitions. On 09.10.2017, this Court has already granted permission to the petitioner(s) to file their

claim before the Official Liquidator. Those petitioners who have not filed their claim may now do so either at this stage, within four weeks or at the stage when the appropriate advertisement is issued by the official liquidator inviting claims.

In case any contention is to be urged by the petitioner against the respondent company, liberty is also granted to the petitioner(s) to move appropriate applications in Co. Pet. No. 66/2012.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in Co. Pet. Nos. 567/2014 and 130/2013 state that they have already filed their claim petitions before the official liquidator.

The present petitions are disposed of accordingly.

JAYANT NATH, J

DECEMBER 11, 2017

P