

70

S-7, 9 & 10

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CONT.CAS(C) 872/2015

RAJEEV SAUMITRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. P.V.Kapur, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Murari Tiwari, Advocate, Mr.
Rahul Kumar, Advocate, Mr. Devesh
Gupta, Advocate, Mr. Vimal Nagrath,
Advocate, Mr. Sidharth Kapur,
Advocate, Ms. Kaveri Gupta,
Advocate and Mr. Purav Mehta,
Advocate.

versus

NEETU SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rajeshwari, Advocate.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 984/2015

RAJEEV SAUMITRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. P.V.Kapur, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Murari Tiwari, Advocate, Mr.
Rahul Kumar, Advocate, Mr. Devesh
Gupta, Advocate, Mr. Vimal Nagrath,
Advocate, Mr. Sidharth Kapur,
Advocate, Ms. Kaveri Gupta,
Advocate and Mr. Purav Mehta,
Advocate.

versus

NEETU SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rajeshwari, Advocate.

21
+ CONT.CAS(C) 30/2016

RAJEEV SAUMITRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. P.V.Kapur, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Murari Tiwari, Advocate, Mr.
Rahul Kumar, Advocate, Mr. Devesh
Gupta, Advocate, Mr. Vimal Nagrath,
Advocate, Mr. Sidharth Kapur,
Advocate, Ms. Kaveri Gupta,
Advocate and Mr. Purav Mehta,
Advocate.

versus

NEETU SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rajeshwari, Advocate.

CORAM:


HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

%

11.10.2017

Contempt petitions are not pressed without prejudice to the claim and contentions of the petitioner in the contempt petitions that there is in fact a contempt committed by the respondent in the contempt petitions. In any case, since the powers of contempt are discretionary and the main appeal has been disposed of in view of the consent order between the parties dated 21.3.2016, therefore, in my opinion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing these contempt petitions and which are disposed of accordingly.



VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

OCTOBER 11, 2017

Ne