

\$~51.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 267/2017

SUSHIL CHOUDHARY

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey &
Ms.Megha, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Seema Patnaha, Advocate for
Ms. Richa Kapoor, ASC for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

03.02.2017

%

The case has been put up on office note. It is informed that prior to passing of the order dated 27.01.2017 by this Court granting two weeks parole to the petitioner, the State has itself passed an order, whereby 30 days parole has been granted. The clarification is sought whether the parole period should be treated as two weeks or 30 days.

Since the petitioner has already been granted 30 days parole by the State, and this fact was not brought to the notice of the Court on 27.01.2017, it is clarified that the parole period of the petitioner be treated as 30 days. It is, therefore, directed that from the date of his release, the petitioner shall surrender at the end of 30 days.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

FEBRUARY 03, 2017

B.S. Rohella