

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 33/2017**

RAVINDER PAL SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr Sanjay Kumar, Advocate.

versus

VODAFONE ESSAR MOBILE CO. LTD. Respondent

Through: Mr Amitesh Chandra Mishra and Ms
Rini Badoni, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

% **19.04.2017**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, *inter alia*, praying that an arbitrator be appointed. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the disputes between the petitioner and respondent are arbitrable by virtue of Section 7B of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885. The learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the above. He, however, states that petition under Section 11 would not be maintainable as the petitioner has not approached the Central Government for appointment of an Arbitrator.
2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the Central Government for appointment of an arbitrator, failing which to approach this Court.
3. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

APRIL 19, 2017/MK