

\$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 74/2001**

AVINASH KUMAR ...Appellant

Through: None.

versus

STATE ...Respondent

Through: None.

With

+ **CRL.A. 88/2001**

KULDEEP SINGH ...Appellant

Through: None.

versus

STATE ...Respondent

Through: None.

With

+ **CRL.A. 89/2001**

SAHENSHER PAL ...Appellant

Through: None.

versus

STATE ...Respondent

Through: None.

With

+ **CRL.A. 91/2001**

SUSHIL KUMAR ...Appellant

Through: None.

versus

STATE

...Respondent

Through: None.

With

+

CRL.A. 106/2001

RAMESH CHAND

...Appellant

Through: None.

versus

STATE

...Respondent

Through: None.

And

+

CRL.A. 118/2001 & CRL.M.A. No. 2013/2001

CHOTTEY LAL

...Appellant

Through: None.

versus

STATE

...Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE I.S. MEHTA

ORDER

%

16.12.2017

Para 92 of the judgment dated 14th December 2017 in Crl A No. 74 of 2001 and batch shall stand corrected to read as under:

“92. Many of the accused have served close to six years of imprisonment and were thereafter released on bail. These are all uniformed policemen who are expected to maintain law and order and not take law into their

own hands. They are expected to act responsibly with a view to protecting the life and liberty of the citizens.”

S. MURALIDHAR, J

I.S. MEHTA, J

DECEMBER 16, 2017/*Rm*