

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 103/2017

SADDAM @ CHINTU

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Animesh Gaba, Adv.with
petitioners.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, APP
with Insp. Sehi Ram and ASI
Banwari Lal, PS G.K.1.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

13.04.2017

%

By the present petition, the Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 275/2013 under Sections 354D/506 IPC registered at PS Greater Kailash Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto as the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions states that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 the only complainant/victim in the aforementioned FIR.

Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and identified by the investigating officer. She states that a comprehensive settlement has been arrived at between petitioner and respondent No.2, her family members and the landlord of the property bearing No.145, Zamrudpur, New Delhi. Respondent No.2 and her family have acted upon the settlement and she does not wish to pursue the above noted FIR and the proceedings pursuant

thereto. She states that neither she nor her family members have any claim either against the petitioner or Mr. Vijay Pahuja, landlord of property bearing No.145, Zamrudpur, New Delhi. The petitioner who is present in Court affirms the statement of respondent No.2 and states that he will abide by the terms of settlement arrived at before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts on 10th March, 2016.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 275/2013 under Sections 354D/506 IPC registered at PS Greater Kailash Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 13, 2017
‘v mittal’