

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 43/2017

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Arti Bansal & Ms. Madhuri Dhingra,
Advocates for Mr. Ajay Digpaul, CGSC.

versus

AHLUWALIA CONTRACTS (INDIA) LTD

..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM: JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

ORDER

%

25.01.2017

IA No.1053/2017 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

OMP (I) (COMM) No.43/2017 & IA No.1052/2017 (Stay)

2. This petition filed by the Petitioners under Section 9 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 (Act) is not maintainable for the simple reason that it requires the Court to set aside two orders of the sole Arbitrator.

3. Section 9 of the Act does not permit the Court to set aside the order of an Arbitrator. The petition is misconceived and cannot be entertained. It is for the Petitioners to seek other remedies as may be available to them in

accordance with law for redressal of their grievance.

4. The petition and the application are dismissed.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

JANUARY 25, 2017

b'nesh