

\$~6

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 469/2017

DALEL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Rajesh Gupta and Mr.Harpreet
Singh, Advocates.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Yeeshu Jain, Advocate for L & B.
Dept.
Ms.Sapna Chauhan, Advocate for
DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

%

23.10.2017

Petitioner is aggrieved by the letter of rejection dated 20.01.2015 vide which his application seeking allotment of an alternate plot had been declined for the reason that the application of the petitioner was time barred. This letter of rejection has been perused. It has communicated to the petitioner that he had received compensation of his acquired land on 10.6.2002. The petitioner was required to submit his application within the prescribed limitation of one year i.e. up to 09.6.2003. There was a delay of one day.

In Rattan Singh vs. Union of India & Ors. W.P.(C) 1967/1987 on the question of delay a Bench of this Court was of the view that if the petitioner is otherwise entitled to the allotment of an alternative

plot of land, then merely on the ground of any alleged delay on his part he should not be deprived of his right.

Accordingly, the delay of one day is condoned. The case of the petitioner be considered on merits. The representation of the petitioner will be answered seniority wise.

Petition disposed of.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

OCTOBER 23, 2017

ndn