

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

R.A. No.263 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.3809 of 2013
Date of Decision.17.05.2017

Amaltash Residents Welfare AssociationPetitioner
Vs

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Raheal Kohli, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.
AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

The review application accompanied by an application seeking condonation of delay of 825 days has been filed on the premise that the certain facts which were in existence could not be brought to the notice of this Court.

This is not the scope of the review as the ingredients of Section 114 and Order 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure do not envisage such grounds. It has to be an error apparent on the face of record, which has not been brought to the notice of this Court, much less, it tantamounts to re-agitate the points which have been raised and addressed, in view of the ratio decidendi culled out by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Tamilnadu Terminated Full Time Temporary LIC Employees Association Vs. S.K. Roy, The Chairman, Life Insurance Corporation of India and another” 2016 (9) SCC 366.

In view of the aforementioned, the review application is dismissed both on the ground of limitation and merit.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 17, 2017
Pankaj*