

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RA-CWP-227-2017 in
CWP- 5994-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.12.2017

Naresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.D.S.Rawat, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner
Mr.Pawan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
Mr.Manjeet Singh, Advocate for the respondent no.2

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

In the present review application, the applicant states that at the time of disposal of main petition on 29.7.2016, interest on the delayed payment of second ACP was denied to him on the ground that learned counsel for the respondent has informed the Court that the petitioner himself was the dealing assistant and he did not submit the necessary papers to the higher authorities in time. It is stated that the said statement is factually incorrect. Learned counsel for the respondent has stated that due to wrong information supplied to him, due to factual error, he made the said statement. It is admitted that the said statement is in fact incorrect. The respondent has now no objection to the release of interest on the delayed payment.

It being so, review application is allowed to the extent that now

interest @ 9% per annum shall also be paid on the delayed payment of
Second ACP from the date ACP become due till the date of payment.

05.12.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No