

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RA No.188 of 2017 with
C.M. No.3079 of 2017 in/and
CWP No.24656 of 2016
Date of Decision: 1.05.2017

Robin Khokha & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. GS Wasu, Advocate,
for the applicant/respondents (in RA No.188 of 2017).

Mr. BS Mittal, Advocate,
for the applicant/respondents No.4, 10, 12, 14, 15, 22 to 27 & 29
(in C.M. No.3079 of 2017).

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Mr. Ashwani Talwar very fairly states that notification which was challenged in this petition has been replaced by a fresh notification which is under challenge in subsequent writ petition No.8777 of 2017 in which he is counsel for the petitioner(s). This renders the instant petition infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the parties to pursue their rights in the aforesaid writ petition and any other litigation that may be filed.

In view of above, RA No.188 of 2017 and C.M. No.3079 of 2017 for recalling order dated 30.11.2016 are allowed and the order dated 30.11.2016 is recalled.

1.05.2017
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No