

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120 **RA-CR-17-CII-2017 (O&M)**
In CR No. 6029 of 2016

JOGINDER VS SATISH BANSAL

Present: Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an affidavit of Joginder dated 28.02.2017 in pursuance of the order dated 23.02.2017 on which date the review application had come up. The same is taken on record. In the affidavit, it has also been averred that the possession of the tenanted premises had already been taken on 22.02.2017 and a report had been submitted to the Rent Controller on 28.02.2017.

The revision petition was dismissed vide order dated 06.02.2017 and thereafter, review application was filed and on 23.02.2017 the following order has been passed:

*“Present: Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate for the
review/petitioner.*

*Counsel does not press the present review application
and prays that 6 months' time may be given to vacate the
premises.*

*Let necessary affidavit be filed in this regard. The said
affidavit shall also mention whether the arrears of rent will
be cleared/have been cleared and that the petitioner shall
continue depositing the rent by 7th of each month, before the
Rent Controller.*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-CR-17-CII-2017 (O&M) *In CR No. 6029 of 2016*

-2-

List on 01.03.2017, for the said purpose”

Keeping in view the subsequent events which have taken place, there is no occasion either to review the order in which no illegality was anyways pointed out and neither any scope is left for granting time to vacate the premises, as possession has already been taken.

Resultantly, the review application is dismissed.

01.03.2017
kv

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**