

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-RF No. 205-CI of 2017 (O&M)
IN RFA No. 3163 of 2006

Date of decision: 13.10.2017

Kali Ram and another

.... Applicants

VS

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present Mr. Arun Singal, Advocate, for the applicants.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

By filing the present application, review of the order dated 3.11.2015 passed by this Court has been sought. Along with the review application, application for condonation of 673 days' delay in filing thereof has also been filed.

Notice in the application to the Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice.

Delay application

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the judgments of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Imrat Lal and others vs Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. vs Haryana State and others, 2015 (2) RCR (Civil) 507, delay of 673 days in filing the Review Application is condoned.

However, for the period of delay in filing the Review Application, the applicants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation, if any.

CM stands disposed of.

Review Application

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the issue raised in the present Review Application has already been decided by this Court vide order passed in RA-RF No. 173/CI of 2016 in RFA No. 3520 of 2006 – Bhim Singh and others vs State of Haryana and another, decided on 21.11.2016.

For the detailed reasons recorded in Bhim Singh's case (supra), the present Review Application is disposed of in the same terms. However, the landowners shall not be entitled to interest, if any, for the period of delay in filing the review application i.e. 673 days.

13.10.2017
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No