

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Review Application No. 22-CI of 2017 (O&M) in
RFA No. 2109 of 1997
Date of decision: 17.2.2017

Naib Kaur and others

.. Appellants

v.

Union Territory, Chandigarh

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate for the applicant-ITBP.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Review of the order passed by this court on 2.9.2014 has been prayed for along with application filed under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for being impleaded as party to the appeal. There is an application for condonation of delay of 519 days in filing the review application as well.

The contention is that the land was acquired for use by ITBP, hence, it was necessary party, as the burden of enhanced compensation was to be borne by it.

However, I do not find any reason to entertain the review application. The review applicant was not party before the court below, hence, not impleaded in the appeal. The applicant was in knowledge of the acquisition of land. The appeal remained pending in this court for about 7 years. No efforts were made to get itself impleaded as party.

There being no merit in the review application, the same is dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications are also dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

17.2.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No