

(154) R.A. No.285 of 2017 in
CWP No.17564 of 2007

Sat Pal and another
Vs.
The State of Haryana and others

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the applicants.

No ground is made out so as to recall order dated 18.5.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that grievance of the applicant relating to second prayer that is to appoint them on regular basis in view of scheme Annexures P-1 and P-2 has not been taken into consideration while allowing CWP No.17564 of 2007; decided on 18.5.2017 which was allowed on the ground that order of termination dated 9.10.2007 was not a speaking order.

Right to seek appointment under Scheme Annexures P-1 and P-2 depends upon the nature of order that is going to be passed by the competent authority pursuant to the order dated 18.5.2017. Therefore, liberty is granted to the review-applicants to make necessary representation to the competent authority in respect of second prayer in the writ petition. If such representation is made, concerned respondent is hereby directed to decide the same while deciding the issue in terms of order dated 18.5.2017 passed in CWP No.17564 of 2007.

Accordingly, review application stands disposed of.

01.06.2017
rajeev

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE