

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.6912-CWP of 2017 in/and
RA-CW No.250 of 2017 in
CWP No.15710 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 09, 2017**

R.C. Rajput

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union Bank of India and another

..... Applicants/Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the applicants-respondents.

Mr. B.B. Bagga, Advocate for the non-applicant/petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-6912-CWP-2017

There is delay of 19 days in filing the review application.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 19 days in filing the review application is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Main Case

This an application for reviewing the order passed by this Court on 16.03.2017 in CWP No.15710 of 2015, vide which a cost of Rs.10,000/- was imposed upon the applicants-respondents for non-filing of the reply in time.

**CM No.6912-CWP of 2017 in/and
RA-CW No.250 of 2017 in
CWP No.15710 of 2015 (O&M)**

- 2 -

Learned counsel for the applicants-respondents states that the reply has been filed and the cost has also been paid.

In view of the aforesaid, the present application is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 09, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No