

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Review Application No. 155 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No. 1057 of 2016
Date of decision: 7.7.2017

Jatinder Pal Singh Khurmi and others

**.. Applicant-
Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

**..Non-Applicants/
.. Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Karanvir Singh Khehar, Advocate for the applicant-
petitioner No. 2.

Rajesh Bindal J.

The issue sought to be raised in the present review application is regarding fixation of seniority of respondents No. 16 and 17, who were earlier working as Additional District & Sessions Judges (Fast Track Courts). They were absorbed in service and were assigned seniority after the regular promotees, however, above the direct recruits and out-of-turn promotees.

The issue sought to be raised is that respondents No. 16 and 17

had not appeared in the competitive examination of the level the direct

recruits had undertaken. They had been adjusted against the posts meant for direct recruits. They appeared only in a limited examination of maximum 100 marks, hence, cannot be granted posts meant for direct recruits.

After hearing learned counsel for the applicant, we do not find any reason to review the judgment. Whatever arguments were addressed at the time of hearing of bunch of petitions, those were dealt with in the judgment.

The review application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

7.7.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No