

**Review Application No. 505 of 2017 in
CWP No. 15287 of 2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Review Application No. 505 of 2017 in
CWP No. 15287 of 2016
Date of Decision: 24.11.2017**

Dr. Balkar Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jangjit Singh Dahiya, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondent no. 5.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this review application, filed by applicant-respondent no. 5, it is contended that the non-applicant/petitioner having already secured another contractual appointment, which was not disclosed in the petition, he had no right to file the petition.

Secondly, it is contended that the non-applicant/petitioner did not possess the requisite qualifications required for being appointed as an Assistant Professor in Panchayati Raj, i.e. the post to which the applicant-respondent no. 5 was appointed at the relevant time.

As regards the 2nd contention, it has been duly dealt with in the judgment which is sought to be reviewed; however, as regards the first contention, though the matter was duly argued on that issue, it is not seen to be dealt with specifically in the order of this Court. However, what has been considered is that the delay in approaching this Court would not come in the way of the non-

**Review Application No. 505 of 2017 in
CWP No. 15287 of 2016**

applicant/petitioner, in view of the fact that, eventually, it was the State Information Commission that had to be approached by him to even obtain the basic information with regard to the criteria adopted in the selection process.

That being so, whether or not he got a contractual job elsewhere, subsequently, as is now contended, in the opinion of this Court would not make a difference, as the challenge is to the selection process in question with the non-applicant/petitioner claiming a right to such selection at the relevant time.

Consequently, I see no reason to entertain this application.

Hence, it is dismissed.

November 24, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.