

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CR No.69 CII of 2017 in CR No.8886 of 2015
RA-CR No.70 CII of 2017 in CR No.8888 of 2015
RA-CR No.71 CII of 2017 in CR No.8891 of 2015
RA-CR No.72 CII of 2017 in CR No.8887 of 2015**

Date of decision:9.5.2017

Smt.Krishna Wanti (deceased) through her LRs

...Applicants/petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.V.K.Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Ravi Kadian, Advocate for the applicants-petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

These four identical review applications are being decided together, vide this common order, as all the four review applications are arising out of similar set of facts, seeking review of the same order dated 28.4.2017 passed by this Court.

Heard learned senior counsel for the applicant(s) in all the four review applications.

It is a matter of judicial record that applicant entered into a compromise with her close relatives including her mother as far back as on 17.1.2007. She accepted the agreed amount of Rs.15 lacs by way of cheque issued by Smt. Ekta wife of Rajinder Kumar and this Rajinder Kumar was none-else but brother of the applicant. Not only that applicant suffered a statement before the learned Additional District Judge on 17.1.2007 on the

basis of above-said compromise and also relinquished her right, if any left, in favour of the petitioners including her mother Smt.Krishna Wanti. She also deposed before the court that she would have no objection in case the amount was released in favour of her mother Smt.Krishna Wanti and others.

On the basis of compromise and statement suffered by the applicant, learned Additional District Judge passed an order dated 17.1.2007 (Annexure P-5), relevant part whereof, has already been reproduced at page 2 of the order dated 28.4.2017. Now, after the lapse of a period of more than 10 years, applicant is turning greedy taking a complete somersault, with a view to extract some money from the petitioners, which is not permissible in law.

Contention raised by the learned senior counsel for the applicant on the basis of a registered Will dated 29.5.2007, executed by Smt.Krishna Wanti in favour of the petitioners excluding the applicant from succeeding to the estate of Smt.Krishna Wanti, that the applicant would be entitled to receive the amount of her share, has been found wholly misplaced for the reason that the applicant had already received the agreed amount of Rs.15 lacs way back on 17.1.2007 and relinquished her remaining right, if any, by suffering her specific statement before the court in this regard.

It is also pertinent to note here that applicant has not even challenged the validity of registered Will dated 29.5.2007 so far. In this view of the matter, no error apparent on the record has been found in the order dated 28.4.2017 passed by this Court and these four identical review applications are liable to be dismissed. No ground for interference has been

made out.

In view of the dismissal of the review applications, separate stay applications, filed along with each review application have been rendered infructuous and the same are disposed of, accordingly.

In view of the above-said observations made, all these four identical review applications stand dismissed, however, no order as to costs.

9.5.2017
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No