

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW No.232 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.572 of 1999**

Date of decision : September 11, 2017

M.S. Pannu

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Coop. Bank Ltd. and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Pandher, Advocate for the applicant-respondent No.1.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-6387-CWP-2017

There is delay of 48 days in filing the present review application.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 48 days in filing the present review application is condoned, subject to all just exception.

Application stands disposed of.

RA-CW-232-2017

The present application has been filed for reviewing the judgment dated 08.02.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.572 of 1999 by engaging a new counsel by respondent No.1.

It is contended that similar matter is pending before the Apex Court, in which stay has been granted.

I am of the view that this is no ground to review the judgment dated 08.02.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.572 of 1999. All the

issues raised at the time of arguments were discussed and decided. Under the garb of the review, the present applicant cannot reopen the case.

As such, the present review application is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No