

**103 CM-15019-CII-2017 in  
RA-CR-107-CII-2017 in  
CR No.627-2014**

**M/S ALLAWADI STABLIZERS & ANR VS HARYANA GRAMIN  
BANK**

Present: None.

\*\*\*\*

Even after three pass overs, none has appeared. There is a delay of 429 days in filing the review application against the order dated 27.01.2016 passed in CR No.627 of 2014.

Civil Revision was filed by the petitioners against Haryana Gramin Bank as contesting respondent against the order dated 03.01.2014 passed by Civil Judge (junior Division), Sirsa, vide which objections filed by the petitioners were dismissed and sale of the property was ordered.

On 29.01.2014, notice of motion was issued subject to deposit of an admitted amount of loan i.e. Rs.1,75,000/- before Registrar General of the High Court. The amount was ordered to be kept in an interest bearing account and further execution proceedings were stayed. Thereafter, learned counsel for the petitioners sought time on the ground that he will make every endeavour to pay the entire amount under decree with 6% interest by the adjourned date. The case was adjourned for 24.12.2015. On 24.12.2015, learned counsel for the petitioners

further sought time in order to comply with his undertaking given on 20.10.2015 to deposit the balance amount on or before 07.01.2016.

In the order dated 27.01.2016, this Court noticed that accumulated interest upto 07.01.2016 along with balance of principal was Rs.1,86,500/-. The amount was directed to be deposited on or before 29.01.2016. The amount so deposited was ordered to be transmitted to the executing Court at Sirsa, who was required to serve a notice on the decree holder and record its full satisfaction.

Now the review application has been moved with an inordinate delay of 429 days on the ground that the order dated 27.01.2016 and the earlier orders passed were not in consonance with the decree of the trial Court, vide which payable interest was 15.5%.

Perusal of the record reveals that the respondent-Haryana Gramin Bank did not appear in the main revision petition despite service and allowed the proceedings to culminate in the order dated 27.01.2016. Now after lapse of 429 days of passing of the said order, the applicant/review petitioners has moved this application for review, which in my considered opinion, cannot be appreciated even on facts as well

**CM-15019-CII-2017 in  
RA-CR-107-CII-2017 in  
CR No.627-2014**

**-3-**

as on merits.

Dismissed.

**25.07.2017**  
*Prince*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**