

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RA No. 390 of 2017 in
CWP No. 5347 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 12.9.2017

Lal Chand Petitioner
Versus
Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Gulia, Advocate,
for applicants-respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The learned counsel for applicants-respondents seeks the review of order dated 22.11.2016, passed by this Court in CWP No. 5347 of 2014, wherein this Court held that the petitioner is entitled to 2nd ACP Grade on completion of 20 years of service with effect from 8.9.2007, whereas the applicants-respondents claim that the petitioner is entitled to 2nd ACP Grade from the later date. There is no error apparent on the record. If the applicants-respondents are aggrieved of the findings recorded by this Court, they can always prefer an appeal against the judgment of this Court. There is no ground to review the order dated 22.11.2016, passed by this Court in CWP No. 5347 of 2014.

In view of the matter, application is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.9.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No