

Smt. Karmi and others
Vs.
Union Territory, Chandigarh

Present: Mr.P.C.Dhiman, Advocate for the
applicants-appellants.

After hearing learned counsel for the applicants in abovesaid application for condonation of delay of 315 days in filing the review application as well as the review application, no case has been made out either for condonation of delay or for reviewing the order dated 02.02.2016 passed by this Court.

Abovesaid review application and other applications are wholly misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance, thus, these must fail. No ground for interference has been made out. In fact, applicants-appellants seek to re-argue the appeal, which is not permissible in law.

Dismissed.

February 17, 2017
Anjal

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned ? Yes/No

Whether reportable ? Yes/No