

**CM-7459-CWP-2017 in
RA-CW-268-2017 in/and
IOIN CWP-6379-2016
CWP-6379-2016**

SARVESH & ANR VS MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ANR

Present: Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for
applicants/respondents.

CM-7459-CWP-2017

CM for condonation of delay of 53 days in filing the review application is allowed subject to all just exceptions for the reasons stated in the application as well as affidavit.

Delay of 53 days in filing the review application stands condoned.

RA-CW-268-2017

The review applicants have sought for reviewing the order dated 21.2.2017 while contending that pursuant to walk in interview dated 13.8.2014 is by open competition irrespective of persons who are working on daily wage or *ad hoc* basis. Therefore, finding recorded by this Court that the respondents-applicants proceeded to regularize the services of those retrenched employees who have been re-employed on daily wage basis in the month of January, 2012 is contrary to the advertisement and pursuant to the selection. Perusal of the advertisement-walk in interview dated 13.8.2014, it is crystal clear that selection process to the post of Class-IV employees is in respect of *ad hoc* employees who have gained 10 years of working experience or daily wagers and it is not for the open competition so as to seek for review of the order dated 21.2.2017. Accordingly, review

applicants have not made out a case for reviewing the order dated

21.2.2017.

Review application stands dismissed.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the review applicants submitted that there is an error in recording the date of regularization instead of 18.1.2012, it should be w.e.f. 22.8.2014. Accordingly, the date recorded as 18.1.2012 is to be read as 22.8.2014 insofar as extending benefit to the second petitioner with reference to the order dated 21.2.2017.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

July 03, 2017.
sandeep sethi