

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-363-2017 IN
CWP-25409-2012
Date of decision : 30.08.2017**

Tarsem Singh

... Applicant/Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate
for the review applicant/petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the review applicant/petitioner in the present review application is that the land proposed to be allotted in pursuance to the order passed by this Court, is situated at a place, which is not accessible.

This Court called upon the learned counsel for the review applicant/petitioner to apprise whether in pursuance to the order passed by this Court, respondent No.2/Department of Revenue and Rehabilitation, had issued any allotment letter. The answer was in negative.

In view of such situation, I am of the view that the aforementioned grievance is wholly misplaced, much less, pre-mature.

At this stage, learned counsel for the review

applicant/petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to withdraw the present review application.

Ordered accordingly.

30.08.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**