

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA No. 916 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 1.11.2017

Anuradha

....Applicant

VERSUS

Karan Partap Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate for the applicant.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

The applicant prays for transfer of petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short 'the Act') HMA/220/2017 titled **Karan Partap Singh Vs. Anuradha** by the respondent-husband from the Court of District Judge, Panchkula to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Kurukshetra.

Counsel for the applicant has submitted that there is nobody in the parental family of the petitioner to accompany her to the Court at Panchkula due to paucity of funds and fear of the respondent as his father is a sitting MLA.

The physical distance between the two places is approximately 100 KMs. There is no child out of the wedlock. Personal appearance of the applicant is not required on each and every date of hearing. The applicant has already caused appearance in the proceedings through a counsel. Under the circumstances, it would be appropriate that the petition is allowed to continue at the place where the same is filed.

However, applicant is at liberty to file an application for issuance of appropriate directions in the light of judgments of Hon’ble the Supreme Court **Transfer Petition (Civil) No. 1278 of 2016 (Santhini vs. Vijaya Venketash) decided on 9.10.2017** and **Krishna Veni Nagam Vs. Harish Nagam, 2017 (2) RCR (Civil) 358.** In case such an application is filed by the applicant, the same shall be disposed of by the Court below before proceeding further with the matter.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of.

NOVEMBER 1, 2017 ‘D. Gulati’	(REKHA MITTAL) JUDGE	
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no