

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA No. 805 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 22.9.2017

RaniApplicant

VERSUS

Rishi RajRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Lalit K. Gupta, Advocate for the applicant.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

The applicant prays for transfer of petition **Rishi Raj Vs. Smt. Rani** under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 from the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Sonapat to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Panipat.

Counsel for the applicant has submitted that besides physical distance of approximately 50 KMs between the two places, the applicant has no source of income and there is no male member in the family to accompany her to the Court at Sonapat. She has lost her father and her elder brother is married and living separately.

Admittedly, physical distance between the two places is approximately 50 KMs. Counsel for the applicant, in response to a query by the Court, would inform that distance between village of the applicant and Panipat is 13 KMs. Under the circumstances, it is appropriate that the petition is allowed to continue at the place where the same is filed.

However, applicant is at liberty to file an appropriate application for

issuance of directions in the light of judgment of Hon’ble the Supreme Court in **Krishna Veni Nagam Vs. Harish Nagam, 2017 (2) RCR (Civil) 358**. In case such an application is filed by the applicant, the same shall be disposed of by the Court below before proceeding further with the matter.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2017		(REKHA MITTAL)
‘D. Gulati’		JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no