

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

TA-733-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2017

MANJU

...Applicant

Versus

TEJBIR

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate for the respondent.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent. Counsel for the respondent would state that the parties have already concluded their evidence and the case is fixed for arguments.

Counsel for the applicant, in reply, has not disputed the aforesaid fact but would state that the petition may be transferred in the light of facts noticed in the order of notice of motion.

As the divorce petition has reached stage of culmination into a judgment, I do not think it to be a fit case for transfer of the petition from the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Hisar to a Court at Jind.

Dismissed.

22.11.2017

ashok

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No