IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 674 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 17.8.2017

Intop Project and another ….Applicants

VERSUS

Sheela Stainless Pvt. Ltd. .....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh Malik, Advocate for the applicants.

*****

REKHA MITTAL, J.

The applicants pray for transfer of Civil Suit No.39 of 2017 titled **Sheela Stainless Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Intop Project and another** from the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Hisar to a Court of competent jurisdiction away from Hisar.

Counsel for the applicants, in line with the allegations raised in para 5 and 6 of the petition, would submit that the applicants are not able to pursue their case and defend themselves properly due to local influence, inhuman and troubling action of the respondent.,

On a query raised by the Court, counsel for the applicants would inform that the applicants did not move an application before the trial Court with regard to alleged objectionable/illegal conduct of the respondents. Under the circumstances, there is no material on record to substantiate the allegations raised in para 5 and 6 of the petition. It further appears to the Court that as the respondent is placed at Hisar and the suit has also been filed in the Court at Hisar, the applicants for the reasons best

Diwaker Gulati
2017.08.24 12:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

known, are not happy with continuation of the proceedings at Hisar but the same cannot constitute a sufficient ground to seek indulgence of this Court.

For the foregoing reasons, finding no merit, the petition fails and is accordingly dismissed.

AUGUST 17, 2017 **(REKHA MITTAL)**
'D. Gulati' **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : yes/no
Whether reportable : yes/no

Diwaker Gulati
2017.08.24 12:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document